

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

	<u> 08/387,</u>	832 05/1	26/95	BEATTÝ	i	wasningwii, D.C. a	Gesi M&	<u>G-9895-5-</u> L
SER	AL NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAM	IED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY	DOCKET NO.
QM41/0505								
	DANIEL	A. TYSVER				C	OHEN,L	
_	BECK &	TYSVER, P.L	L.P.				EXAMINER	
l		RST STREET MN 55343	SOUTH	#440		3	736	
						ART UN	IT PAP	ER NUMBER
							0	5/05/98
1								لــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
<u> </u>						DATE MAILED	:	•

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

Office	Action	Summary
--------	--------	---------

Application No. 08/387,832

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Beatty et al

Example 1

Lee S. Cohen

Group Art Unit

☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
★ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	·	on as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fa application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ex 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ilure to respond within the period	d for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims		
X Claim(s) 3-11, 13, and 14	is/are	pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	i:	s/are allowed.
	i	s/are rejected.
Claim(s)		
☐ Claims		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED cop ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial ☐ received in this national stage application from	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(pies of the priority documents ha al Number)	ve been
*Certified copies not received:		<u> </u>
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic ;	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Page ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT☐ ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		·
SEE DELICE ACTION	I ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Serial Number: 08/387,832 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and *In re Goodman*, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and © may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 3-11, 13, and 14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 5,311,866.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they represent an obvious change in scope.

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/387,832. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier

Serial Number: 08/387,832 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Lee S. Cohen at telephone number (703) 308-2998.

LEE S. COHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3300